A Review of Performance Analysis of a Hybrid Solar-Diesel-Grid Connected Power Generation System

Rafat Qonain^{1*}, Imran Khan¹, Shivley Sageer² ¹AZAD IET, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India ²SRMSCET, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

This paper presents the Importance of hybrid power system. This paper depicts model and simulation of a renewable energy based hybrid power system for improving power quality because optimal utilization of primary energy sources will increase the level of supply reliability. The combination of Grid, Photo Voltaic (PV) Array System, and Diesel generator systems are used for power generation. Due to variation in the output power of solar panel, Diesel engine is also coupled to ensure reliable supply under all conditions. The outcomes demonstrates that the proposed half and half power framework can viably deal with the ideal usage of essential vitality sources and enhances the power quality in an islanding and additionally lattice associated mode.

Keywords: diesel grid connected system, homer software, solar photovoltaic, RETs

*Corresponding Author E-mail: rafat.qonain@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION Grid

Grid exists as main power component in this hybrid system. Moreover, grid has the functions as a storage system, so a grid power system does not need a battery.^[1-3]

Diesel Generator

Diesel generator is one of the elements of the hybrid system described in this paper.



A diesel generator is an engine which use diesel as the prime mover to generate electric energy. It supplies load when there is less supply from renewable energy sources than demand for an efficient, continuous, and reliable customers' energy demand. The following figure, Figure 1 shows schematic of a diesel generator.

Fig. 1. Schematic of Diesel Generator with Constant Engine Speed.

Battery

A battery is a gadget that stores coordinate current (dc) electrical vitality in electrochemical frame for later utilizes. The amount of energy that will be stored or delivered from the battery is managed by the battery charge controller.^[4-6]

Electrical energy is stored in a battery in the electrochemical form and is the most widely used device for energy store in a

Journals Pub

variety of application. The conversion efficiency of batteries is not perfect. Energy is lost as heat and in the chemical reaction, during charging or recharging. Because not all battery's can be recharged they are divided in two groups. The first group is the primary batteries which only converts chemical energy into electrical energy and cannot be recharged. The second group is rechargeable batteries. Rechargeable batteries are used in hybrid power generation system.

Power Conditioning Unit

An inverter converts the direct current (dc) electricity from sources such as batteries, PV modules, or wind turbine to alternative current (ac) electricity. The electricity can then be used to operate ac equipment like ones that are plugged in to most house hold electrical outlets. The normal output ac waveform of inverters is a sine wave with a frequency of 50 Hz.(Figure 2)





Inverters are available in three different categories based on where they are applied: grid-tied battery less, grid tied with the battery back-up and stand-alone. The grid tied battery less are the most popular inverters today. These inverters connect directly to the public utility, using the utility power as a storage battery. The grid-tied with battery backup are more complex than battery less grid-tied inverters because they need to sell power to the grid, supply power to backed-up loads during the outages, and charge batteries from the grid, PV or wind turbine after an outage. The standalone inverters are designed for the independent utilityfree power system and are appropriated for remote hybrid system installation.^[7,8]

In the other hand, based on their output waveforms there are three kinds of inverters; square wave, modified sinewave, and pure sine wave inverters. Of the three, the square wave type is the simplest and least expensive, but with the poorest quality output signal. The modified sine wave type is suitable for many load types and is the most popular low-cost inverter. Pure sine wave inverters produce the highest quality signal and are used for sensitive devices such as medical equipment, laser printers, stereos, etc. The efficiency of converting the direct current to the alternative current of most inverters today is 90 percent or more. Many inverters claim to have higher efficiencies but for this report the efficiency that was used is 90%.

Designing and Modeling of Hybrid System with HOMER

The Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewable (HOMER), which is copyrighted by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) is a PC demonstrate created by the U.S. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to help the plan of force frameworks and encourage the examination of force era advances over an extensive variety of uses. HOMER is used model a power system physical to behaviour and its life-cycle cost, which is the total cost of installing and operating the system over its life time. HOMER permits the modeller to think about various outline alternatives in view of their specialized and financial benefits. It also assists in understanding and quantifying the effects of uncertainty or changes in the inputs.

HOMER software is used as a tool to accomplish this report. As mentioned earlier, the main objective of the study is to design and model hybrid PV–Wind– diesel–battery based standalone power generation systems to meet the load requirements of the specified load. The power conditioning units are dc-dc and acdc converters, with the sole purpose of matching the PV, batteries and wind turbine voltages to that of the bus voltage at the dc bus.

The primary load is an electric demand that must be served according to a particular schedule whereas deferrable load is electric demand that can be served at certain period of time, the exact timing is not important.

HOMER performs three major tasks: simulation, optimization, and sensitivity analysis based on the raw input data given by user. The performance of a particular power system configuration for each hour of the year is modeled by simulation determine its process to technical feasibility and life-cycle cost. Manv configurations different system are simulated in the optimization process in search of the one that satisfies the technical constraints at the lowest lifecycle cost.

During the sensitivity analysis process multiple optimizations are performed under a range of input assumptions for judging the effects of uncertainty or changes in the model inputs. Advancement decides the ideal estimation of the factors over which the framework architect has control, for example, the blend of parts that make up the framework and the size or amount of each. The effects of uncertainty or changes in the variables can be assessed by Sensitivity analysis, over which the designer has no control, such as the average wind speed or the future fuel price.

SIMULATION AND RESULTS Simulation of Hybrid System on HOMER

HOMER performs the simulation for a number of prospective designed configurations. After examining every design, it selects the one that meets the load with the system constraints at the least life cycle cost (LCC). HOMER performs its optimization and sensitivity analysis across all mentioned components and their resources, technical and the cost parameters, and system constraints and sensitivity data over a range of exogenous variables.

Modeling of Electric Load Using HOMER

The electric loads are usually the largest single influence on the size and the cost of hybrid system components. So, one of the most important steps in the design of the hybrid system is deciding on the load. The term loads refers to a demand for electric or thermal energy. In this paper, electrical load of faculty of engineering and technology, AZAD IET, Lucknow is selected to carry out the economic analysis.

A typical sample of the daily load profile during working day of the building is shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. Daily Load Profile.

The building requires a maximum of 758 kW peak demand and it has a base demand of approximately 30 kW. From the heap profile, it can be seen that, amid evening times, the heap necessities are the most reduced since that is the off working hours of the staffs and understudies. The greatest request happens amid daytime from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. as this is the working hour time frame. The pinnacle request is around 62 kW from 8 a.m. to 11 a.m. what's more, 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. where it is the ideal opportunity for understudies to lead tries in the research center. The heap request drops to around 420 kW toward

the evening when there are no tests in the research facility and in this manner the PCs and other lab gear were killed.

Usually, some replacement lectures and classes are conducted after working hours, 5 p.m.–10 p.m. which it results in the load demand of about 450 kW. Figure 4 illustrates the average and the deviation of the monthly load profile for the studied building. The scaled annual average energy demand of studied building as simulated by HOMER software is 5484 kWh/day.



Fig. 4. Monthly Load Profile

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section represents the results obtained using the homer software for different configuration of hybrid system as designed in Homer simulation software to calculate total net present cost (NPC) & cost of energy (COE).

Only Grid Connected System

HOMER generates a simulated option with an optimal system being one without an alternative source, which means the factory load supplied electricity 100% from the grid as shown in Figure 5. The total NPC of this grid-only method came solely from the grid since the grid was the only supply. The output shows that a total energy of 2,001,655 kWh/year was purchased from grid and no power supply came from the PV system as illustrated in Table 1. It can be noted there is no capital cost because no alternative system needed to be purchased or installed in this phase of analysis.





Fig. 5. The Monthly Average Electricity Production.

Table 1. Op	timization	Results .	For (Grid	Only !	System.
-------------	------------	-----------	-------	------	--------	---------

Grid	Total Initial Capital Cost	Total NPC	Total NPC Operating Cost		Rene wable Fraction
kW	Rs	Rs	Rs/yr	Rs/kWh	
850	0	182953320	14311825	7.15	0

Table 2.	Grid Onl	y System	Electricity	Consumption.
----------	----------	----------	-------------	--------------

		kWh/yr	Fraction %
Production	Grid purchases	2,001,655	100
Consumption	AC primary load	2,001,585	100

Table 2 shows the HOMER output results for grid only system. The optimal result for HOMER depending on the NPC is to use a grid-only method as the first choice. This implies any option framework won't be viewed as an ideal arrangement. The explanation behind this is the network just framework is expected to convey no capital or support cost.

PV and Grid Connected System

Figure 6 shows the PV and grid connected system configuration as designed in Homer simulation software. Figure 7 shows the HOMER output results ordered from the lowest NPC for adding the PV generation system to simulation. HOMER uses the total NPC as its main selection tool.



Fig. 6. PV and Grid Connected System Configuration.

4	7	PV (kW)	Conv. (kW)	Grid (kW)	Initial Capital	Operating Cost (\$/yr)	Total NPC	COE (\$/kWh)	Ren. Frac.
1				850	\$0	260,215	\$ 3,326,424	0.130	0.00
本	70	200	200	850	\$ 494,600	222,305	\$ 3,336,403	0.130	0.18
本	70	200	200	800	\$ 494,600	222,305	\$ 3,336,403	0.130	0.18
本	70	400	400	850	\$ 989,200	189,900	\$ 3,416,755	0.134	0.34
本	7 🗹	400	400	800	\$ 989,200	189,900	\$ 3,416,755	0.134	0.34
1	7 🗹	400	200	850	\$ 858,200	200,659	\$ 3,423,301	0.134	0.33
1	7 🖂	400	200	800	\$ 858,200	200,659	\$ 3,423,301	0.134	0.33
1	7 🗹	600	400	850	\$ 1,352,800	163,090	\$ 3,437,641	0.134	0.47
1	7 🖂	600	400	800	\$ 1,352,800	163,090	\$ 3,437,641	0.134	0.47
1	7 🗹	200	400	850	\$ 625,600	226,172	\$ 3,516,843	0.137	0.18
1	7 🗹	200	400	800	\$ 625,600	226,172	\$ 3,516,843	0.137	0.18
1	7 🗹	600	600	850	\$ 1,483,800	160,251	\$ 3,532,352	0.138	0.48
1	7 🖂	600	600	800	\$ 1,483,800	160,251	\$ 3,532,352	0.138	0.48
1	7 🗹	800	600	850	\$ 1,847,400	132,964	\$ 3,547,128	0.139	0.58
1	7 🗹	800	600	800	\$ 1,847,400	132,964	\$ 3,547,128	0.139	0.58
1	7 🗹	400	600	850	\$1,120,200	193,761	\$ 3,597,120	0.141	0.34
1	7 🗹	400	600	800	\$1,120,200	193,761	\$ 3,597,120	0.141	0.34
1	7 🖂	800	400	850	\$ 1,716,400	149,520	\$ 3,627,767	0.142	0.56
1	7 🖂	800	400	800	\$ 1,716,400	149,520	\$ 3,627,767	0.142	0.56
1	7 🖂	800	800	850	\$ 1,978,400	133,777	\$ 3,688,525	0.144	0.59
1	7 🖂	800	800	800	\$ 1,978,400	133,777	\$ 3,688,525	0.144	0.59
1	72	600	200	850	\$ 1,221,800	193,420	\$ 3,694,363	0.144	0.43
1	72	600	200	800	\$ 1,221,800	193,420	\$ 3,694,363	0.144	0.43
1	72	200	600	850	\$ 756,600	230,053	\$ 3,697,444	0.145	0.18
1	7 🖂	200	600	800	\$ 756,600	230,053	\$ 3,697,444	0.145	0.18

Fig. 7. The Overall Optimization Results From HOMER.

All the conceivable cross breed framework designs are recorded in rising request of their aggregate NPC in the figure demonstrated as follows. The optimal result for HOMER depending on the NPC is to use a grid-only method as the first choice. It can be deduced that the most cost effective option is to use the supply from the grid only system without a PV generator. This option has a total net present cost (NPC) of \$ 3326424 (Rs 182953320) and the lowest cost of energy (COE) of \$ 0.13 (Rs 7.15) /kWh. This option also results in an operating cost of Rs 14311825/year. The working expense was produced by increasing the aggregate vitality bought by the buy costs. The initial capital cost in this case is zero due to the lack of a PV generator and inverter.

Sensitivity Analysis Of PV And Grid Connected System

Figure 8 shows the sensitivity results of PV and grid connected system given by

the HOMER. We can see that there is only one optimal system with a PV system. Thissystem has a PV fraction of 18% with a grid fraction of 82%.For the optimal alternative system, the PV system and inverter size are 200 KW.

The total NPC, Initial Capital cost and COE (cost of energy) for such a hybrid system are \$ 3336403 (Rs 183502165), \$ 494600 (Rs 27203000) and \$0.130 (Rs 7.15)/ kWh, respectively.

Figure 8 also showed the results given by the HOMER for different rate of per unit cost of energy purchased by the grid. It can be concluded that in future if the grid electricity price increases, integration of PV system with grid would be optimum as the cost of energy decreases. Figure 7 shows the monthly distribution of the electricity produced in kW by the Solar PV and Grid. The effect of SPV penetration reduces the energy consumption from grid.

Journals Pub

Rate 1 Price (\$/kWh)	Min. RF (%) 4 9 🗹	PV (kW)	Conv. (kW)	Grid (kW)	Initial Capital	Operating Cost (\$/yr)	Total NPC	COE (\$/kWh)	Ren. Frac.
0.130	0本			850	\$0	260,215	\$ 3,326,424	0.130	0.00
0.130	18 শ 柠 🗹	200	200	850	\$ 494,600	222,305	\$ 3,336,403	0.130	0.18
0.130	33 শ 柠 🗹	400	400	850	\$ 989,200	189,900	\$ 3,416,755	0.134	0.34
0.170	0 本 ዋ 🖂	600	400	850	\$ 1,352,800	213,515	\$ 4,082,242	0.160	0.47
0.170	18 শ 柠 🗹	600	400	850	\$ 1,352,800	213,515	\$ 4,082,242	0.160	0.47
0.170	33 শ 柠 🗹	600	400	850	\$ 1,352,800	213,515	\$ 4,082,242	0.160	0.47
0.200	0 本 ዋ 🖂	800	600	850	\$ 1,847,400	207,131	\$ 4,495,226	0.176	0.58
0.200	18 শ 柠 🗹	800	600	850	\$ 1,847,400	207,131	\$ 4,495,226	0.176	0.58
0.200	33 শ 柠 🗹	800	600	850	\$ 1,847,400	207,131	\$ 4,495,226	0.176	0.58
0.250	0 本 ዋ 🖂	800	600	850	\$1,847,400	260,107	\$ 5,172,437	0.202	0.58
0.250	18 শ 柠 🗹	800	600	850	\$ 1,847,400	260,107	\$ 5,172,437	0.202	0.58
0.250	33 শ ዋ 🗹	800	600	850	\$1,847,400	260,107	\$ 5,172,437	0.202	0.58

Fig. 8. Sensitivity Results For PV And Grid Connected System From HOMER.



Fig. 9. Monthly Average Electricity Production From PV And Grid Connected System.

Table 3. GHG & Emissions Recorded From the HOMER Analysis For PV And GridConnected System When PV Penetration.

Pollutant	Emissions (kg/yr)
Carbon dioxide	1,265,046
Carbon monoxide	0
Unburned hydrocarbons	0
Particulate matter	0
Sulfur dioxide	5,485
Nitrogen oxides	2,682

Emissions for PV and Grid Connected System

In India, the principle wellspring of force era is coal based power plants. As a result in 2009-2010, its emanation figure for the power area was 0.81 kg CO2/kWh.

Table 4 demonstrates the sorts of GHG and other emanation and their amount given out by the PV and lattice associated framework more than one year in operation when PV entrance is 18% and 33% individually.We can see that the emissions are reduces significantly as the PV penetration increases.

PV and Diesel Based System

Figure 8 demonstrates the PV and diesel based framework setup as composed in Homer recreation programming. For the off-matrix charge, different mixes have been gotten of half and half frameworks with SPV, diesel generator, batteries and convertors from the HOMER Optimization reproduction programming.



Fig. 10. PV And Diesel Based System Configuration.

All hybrid the possible system configurations are listed in ascending order of their total NPC in the figure shown below. The technical and the economical details of all the configurations of the hybrid systems from the optimization process are shown in detail in Table 3. where the best possible combination of SPV, a diesel generator and batteries is highlighted in blue. The blue highlighted combination is able to fully meet the load demands at the lowest possible total NPC.

-	7 🛅 🖾	PV (kW)	Label (kW)	S6CS25P	Conv. (kW)	Disp. Strgy	Initial Capital	Operating Cost (\$/yr)	Total NPC	COE (\$/kWh)	Ren. Frac.	Diesel (L)	Label (hrs)
I	▛ᡈᡦ᠌	800	500	3000	800	CC	\$ 2,478,400	341,125	\$ 6,839,118	0.267	0.62	309,859	2,011
H	7 🖒 🗇 🖂	800	500	4000	800	CC	\$ 2,603,400	331,820	\$ 6,845,171	0.268	0.63	297,330	1,893
H	7 🕒 🖾 🍸	800	500	3000	600	CC	\$ 2,347,400	352,998	\$ 6,859,895	0.268	0.63	319,151	2,439
Ľ	7 🖒 🖾 🕅	800	500	4000	600	CC	\$ 2,472,400	343,905	\$ 6,868,662	0.268	0.64	306,126	2,356
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	800	500	5000	600	CC	\$ 2,597,400	338,581	\$ 6,925,596	0.271	0.65	296,772	2,298
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🔽	800	500	5000	800	CC	\$ 2,728,400	330,070	\$ 6,947,798	0.272	0.63	291,740	1,849
Ľ	700	800	500	3000	400	CC	\$ 2,216,400	381,608	\$ 7,094,625	0.277	0.62	345,573	2,936
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	800	500	4000	400	CC	\$ 2,341,400	375,000	\$ 7,135,160	0.279	0.63	334,787	2,879
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	800	500	5000	400	CC	\$ 2,466,400	373,252	\$ 7,237,817	0.283	0.63	328,814	2,853
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖾	600	500	5000	400	CC	\$ 2,102,800	440,809	\$ 7,737,824	0.302	0.50	400,210	3,111
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖾	600	500	5000	600	CC	\$ 2,233,800	444,608	\$ 7,917,389	0.309	0.50	403,163	2,956
Ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	400	500	2000	400	CC	\$ 1,364,200	526,675	\$ 8,096,868	0.316	0.34	504,149	3,438
Ľ	7 🔆 🗗 🖾 .	400	500	2000	600	CC	\$ 1,495,200	520,643	\$ 8,150,764	0.319	0.33	498,016	3,187
ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖾	600	500	5000	800	CC	\$ 2,364,800	464,274	\$ 8,299,786	0.325	0.47	425,881	2,686
Ľ	700	400	500	3000	400	CC	\$ 1,489,200	537,083	\$ 8,354,924	0.327	0.34	509,197	3,542
Ľ	<u> ~</u> 💬 🖾 🖂	400	500	3000	600	CC	\$ 1,620,200	527,450	\$ 8,362,779	0.327	0.33	499,954	3,243
Ľ	<u> ~</u> 💬 🖾 🖂	400	500	4000	400	CC	\$ 1,614,200	541,504	\$ 8,536,444	0.334	0.34	509,430	3,550
Ľ	T 😋 🖾 🖂	400	500	4000	600	CC	\$ 1,745,200	532,151	\$ 8,547,881	0.334	0.34	500,318	3,260
ľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖾	200	500	1000	400	CC	\$ 875,600	610,584	\$ 8,680,909	0.339	0.17	596,561	3,781
Ľ	700	400	500	5000	400	CC	\$1,739,200	544,415	\$ 8,698,648	0.340	0.34	508,365	3,538
I P	<u> "</u> " 🔁 🖾	400	500	5000	600	CC	\$1,870,200	535,440	\$ 8,714,918	0.341	0.34	499,620	3,251
Iľ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	400	500	2000	800	CC	\$ 1,626,200	564,192	\$ 8,838,471	0.346	0.31	539,901	3,299
Πŀ	7 🗁 🖾 🖂	200	500	1000	600	CC	\$ 1,006,600	625,285	\$ 8,999,840	0.352	0.17	606,157	3,905

Fig. 11. Optimization Results For PV and Diesel Based System.



Fig. 12. Monthly Average Electricity Production For PV And Diesel Based System.

According to the optimization results, the optimal combination of hybrid system components are a 800 kW PV-Array, 500 kW Diesel Generator, 3000 Surrette 6CS25P Batteries, 800 kW Inverter and a 800kW Rectifier with a dispatch strategy of load following. Details of this configuration are shown in Table 5. The total NPC, operating cost and levelized cost of energy (COE) for such a hybrid system are Rs 376151490, 18761875and Rs 14.685/ kWh, respectively.

Figure 12 shows the monthly distribution of the electricity produced in kW by the SPV and Diesel generator. The effect of

Journals Pub

SPV penetration reduces the diesel fuel consumption. As output from the PV increases, the generator's operation hours decrease. Table 4 shows the annualized cost of the proposed system's components. It can be seen that the expenses for the DG and SPV are disseminated totally oppositely over both segments' life expectancy: The capital cost of the Diesel generator makes up just 5% of the framework's aggregate capital cost, while right around 60% of the underlying speculation go to the SPV exhibits. Once introduced, in any case, SPV is shoddy to keep up and work contrasted with DG, which at last is in charge of 59.5% of the framework's aggregate yearly cost of Rs 29425110.

Table 4. Technical & Cost Details Of The Best Suited Configuration for PV and GeneratorBased System.

Component	Capital (Rs/yr)	Replacement (Rs/yr)	O&M (Rs /yr)	Fuel (Rs /yr)	Salvage (Rs/yr)	Total (Rs /yr)
PV	6257515	0	264000	0	0	6521515
Generator	537790	719730	995445	15338015	-81235	17509745
Surrette 6CS25P	1613425	480095	330000	0	-137830	2285690
Converter	2254505	940720	88000	0	-175120	3108105
System	10663235	2140545	1677445	15338015	-394185	29425110

Cost summary		System	Architecture	Electrical			
Total net Rs456809375		PV Array 800kW		Component	Production	Fraction	
present cost							
Levelized cost Rs17.875/kWh of energy		Diesel Generator	500kW		(kWh/yr)		
Operating cost	Rs18937875/yr	Inverter	800kW	PV Array	1,491,700	62%	
		Rectifier	800kW	Diesel Generator	917,676	38%	
		Battery	3000 Surrette 6CS25P	Total	2,409,376	100%	

EMISSIONS FOR PV AND DIESEL BASED SYSTEM

Table 7 shows the types of GHG and other emission and their quantity given out by the PV and diesel based system over one year in the operation.

Table 6. GHG & Emissions RecordedFrom the HOMER Analysis for PV and theDiesel Based System.

Pollutant	Emissions (kg/yr)						
Carbon dioxide	815,961						
Carbon monoxide	2,014						
Unburned hydrocarbons	223						
Particulate matter	152						
Sulfur dioxide	1,639						
Nitrogen oxides	17,972						

Only PV System with Batteries

Figure 13 shows the PV and diesel based system configuration as designed in

Homer simulation software. The optimization results of only PV system with batteries are shown in Figure 12. All the conceivable mixture framework setups are recorded in rising request of their aggregate NPC in the Figure shown below. According to the optimization results, the optimal combination of the only PV system have a 1600 kW PV-Array, 8000 6CS25P Surrette Batteries. 1000 kW Inverter and a 1000kW Rectifier with a dispatch strategy of the load following. Details of this configuration are shown in Table 8. The total NPC, operating cost and COE for such a system are Rs 294316220, Rs 3387780 and Rs11.495/kWh, respectively.



Fig. 13. Only PV System Configuration.

4	č 🖻 🗹	PV (kW)	Label (kW)	S6CS25P	Conv. (kW)	Disp. Strgy	Initial Capital	Operating Cost (\$/yr)	Total NPC	COE (\$/kWh)	Ren. Frac.	Diesel (L)	Label (hrs)
4	000	800	500	3000	800	CC	\$ 2,478,400	341,125	\$ 6,839,118	0.267	0.62	309,859	2,011
A	/ 눱 🖾 🖂	800	500	4000	800	CC	\$ 2,603,400	331,820	\$ 6,845,171	0.268	0.63	297,330	1,893
17	' 🛅 🖾 '	800	500	3000	600	CC	\$ 2,347,400	352,998	\$ 6,859,895	0.268	0.63	319,151	2,439
4	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	800	500	4000	600	CC	\$ 2,472,400	343,905	\$ 6,868,662	0.268	0.64	306,126	2,356
4	00 🖾 🖾	800	500	5000	600	CC	\$ 2,597,400	338,581	\$ 6,925,596	0.271	0.65	296,772	2,298
17	00 🖾 🖾	800	500	5000	800	CC	\$ 2,728,400	330,070	\$ 6,947,798	0.272	0.63	291,740	1,849
19	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	800	500	3000	400	CC	\$ 2,216,400	381,608	\$ 7,094,625	0.277	0.62	345,573	2,936
17	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	800	500	4000	400	CC	\$ 2,341,400	375,000	\$ 7,135,160	0.279	0.63	334,787	2,879
1	" 🗁 🖾 🔟	800	500	5000	400	CC	\$ 2,466,400	373,252	\$ 7,237,817	0.283	0.63	328,814	2,853
1	" 🗁 🖾 🔟	600	500	5000	400	CC	\$ 2,102,800	440,809	\$ 7,737,824	0.302	0.50	400,210	3,111
17	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	600	500	5000	600	CC	\$ 2,233,800	444,608	\$ 7,917,389	0.309	0.50	403,163	2,956
H	Č: 🖻 🗹	400	500	2000	400	CC	\$ 1,364,200	526,675	\$ 8,096,868	0.316	0.34	504,149	3,438
1	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	400	500	2000	600	CC	\$ 1,495,200	520,643	\$ 8,150,764	0.319	0.33	498,016	3,187
A	000	600	500	5000	800	CC	\$ 2,364,800	464,274	\$ 8,299,786	0.325	0.47	425,881	2,686
A	`c 🖻 🗹	400	500	3000	400	CC	\$ 1,489,200	537,083	\$ 8,354,924	0.327	0.34	509,197	3,542
H	Č: 🖻 🗹	400	500	3000	600	CC	\$ 1,620,200	527,450	\$ 8,362,779	0.327	0.33	499,954	3,243
H	è 🖻 🗹	400	500	4000	400	CC	\$ 1,614,200	541,504	\$ 8,536,444	0.334	0.34	509,430	3,550
4	````` 🖻 🖾	400	500	4000	600	CC	\$ 1,745,200	532,151	\$ 8,547,881	0.334	0.34	500,318	3,260
H	00 🖾 🖾	200	500	1000	400	CC	\$ 875,600	610,584	\$ 8,680,909	0.339	0.17	596,561	3,781
17	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	400	500	5000	400	CC	\$1,739,200	544,415	\$ 8,698,648	0.340	0.34	508,365	3,538
17	" 🗁 🖾 🖾	400	500	5000	600	CC	\$1,870,200	535,440	\$ 8,714,918	0.341	0.34	499,620	3,251
17	Č:) 🖻 🖾	400	500	2000	800	CC	\$ 1,626,200	564,192	\$ 8,838,471	0.346	0.31	539,901	3,299
17	′ 🖧 🖻 🖂	200	500	1000	600	CC	\$ 1,006,600	625,285	\$ 8,999,840	0.352	0.17	606,157	3,905

Fig. 14. Optimization Results For Only PV Based System.

As we know that for only PV system, batteries have a very important role as it is the only backup power component. Technical details of battery for best suited configuration are shown in Table 9.

Quantity	Value	Unit				
Nominal capacity	55,488	kWh				
Usable nominal capacity	33,293	kWh				
Autonomy	146	Hr				
Lifetime throughput	77,238,224	kWh				
Average energy cost	0	Rs/kWh				
Bus voltage	60	V				
Energy in	986,585	kWh/yr				
Energy out	792,555	kWh/yr				

 Table 7. Technical Details Of The Battery For Best Suited Configuration.



Operating cost

PV Arrav

Total

With Batteries.						
Cost summary	System	n Architecture	Electrical			
Total net present cost	Rs 294316220	PV Array	1600kW	Component	Production	Fraction
Levelized cost of energy	Rs 11.495/kWh	Battery	8000	_	(kWh/yr)	
			Surratta 6CS25D			

1000kW

1000kW

Inverter

Rectifier

 Table 8. Technical & Cost Details Of The Best Suited Configuration For Only PV System

 With Batteries.

Emissions for Only PV System

As only PV system with batteries is used for the power generation, there will be no emissions for this system configuration i.e. no green house gases (GHG) will be produced by this system.

Rs 3387780/vr

Future Work

After analyzing all the system models, PV and Grid connected system is found to be more economical with lowest cost of energy of Rs 7.15/ kWh. At present time the cost of energy for the grid connected system is Rs 7.15/ kWh, which is expected to increase with time. At the same time the CO2 emissions are maximum for the grid connected system which can be reduced by adding the PV with the grid connected system without much influenced on the cost of energy. By adding the alternative sources, one can overcome the scheduled power cut too. Table 10 shows the economical comparison between different combinations of hybrid system. Calculated in the preceding of previous section.

2.983.399

2.983.399

100%

100%

 Table 9. Economical Comparison between Different Combinations of Hybrid System.

System Combination	Total NPC	Operating Cost	Cost of Energy	Renewable Fraction (%)
Grid only	182953320	14311825	7.15	0
PV and Grid	183502165	12226775	7.15	18
	187921525	10444500	7.37	34
PV and Diesel	376151490	18761875	14.685	62
PV with Batteries	294316220	3387780	11.495	100

REFERENCES

- 1. Hrayshat E.S. Techno-economic analysis of autonomous hybrid photovoltaic-diesel-battery system. *Energy for Sustainable Development* 2013; 13: 143–50p.
- 2. Government of India: Ministry of Power, Central Electricity Authority, Monthly report. 2013 April. http://www.cea.nic.in
- 3. Hirose T., Standalone M. H. Hybrid wind-solar power generation system applying dump power control without dump load. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2012 Feb; 59(2): 988–97p.
- 4. Karakoulidis K. *et al.* Technoeconomic analysis of a stand-alone hybrid photovoltaic-diesel-battery-fuel cell power system. *Renewable Energy*. 2011; 36: 2238–44p.
- Ngan M.S., Tan C.W. Assessment of economic viability for PV/wind/diesel hybrid energy system in southern peninsular Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2011; 16: 634–47p.
- Shaahid S.M., Elhadidy M.A. Technical and economic assessment of grid independent hybrid photovoltaicdiesel-battery power systems for commercial loads in desert

environments. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007; 11: 1794–810p.

7. Giatrakos *et al.* Sustainable energy planning based on a stand-alone hybrid renewable energy/hydrogen power system: application in Karpathos

Island, Greece. *Renewable Energy*. 2009; 34: 2562–70p.

 Contaxis G.C., Kabouris J. Short-Term scheduling in a wind diesel autonomous energy system. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 1991; 6: 1161–7p.